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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the anti-proliferative and antimicrobial activity of some derivatives of 3,5-dinitro-4-methoxyamino-benzoic acid previously obtained by coupling reactions of the above mentioned acid with benzyllamine, n-butylamine, 4-amino-antipyrine, benzocaine, 3,4-dimethyl-5-amino-isoazazole, 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl-2-ethylamine and the corresponding methyl ester. The anti-tumoural activity was investigated on HCT8 cells, while the antimicrobial one was tested on bacterial and fungal cells, in planktonic and adherent growth state. The obtained compounds exhibited good antimicrobial activity, both against free-living, planktonic, as well as biofilm embedded Gram-positive bacterial and fungal cells, as well as anti-proliferative activity on the HCT8 cells. While PZ2, PZ6 and PZ7 compounds have shown a remarkable effect against planktonic microbial cells, PZ4 and PZ7 proved to be the most active anti-biofilm compounds. Considering their anti-tumoural potential, PZ1 and PZ7 proved to be the most cytotoxic against the HCT8 cells, inducing the HCT8 cells apoptosis and arrest in the G2/M phase of the cellular cycle.
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Introduction

Alcoxy-aminyl persistent free radicals are a class of compounds discovered in the 60’ and intensively studied due to their peculiar properties [1-6] such as their stability towards oxygen, lack of dimerization, as well as unusual physical and chemical properties (e.g., their intense colour that changes with pH) [7]. The most well-known derivatives are of N-alkoxy- and N-picylaminyls type and were studied mainly by means of electron spin resonance (ESR) and electronic absorption spectra [8-10]. These free radicals are stabilized by the capto-dative effect (Figure 1).

Figure 1.
Synthesis of alcoxy-aminyl free radicals and their resonance structure
As a continuation of our previous work [3], we have used the previously synthesised eight derivatives of 3,5-dinitro-4-methoxyamino-benzoic acid (Figure 2), containing moieties with well-known biological effects (like phenazone (antipyrine) and benzocaine), for further biological characterization. Experimental and calculated hydrophobicity together with the total antioxidant capacity were measured before [3].

![Chemical structures](image)

**Figure 2.** Structure of the compounds employed in this study (denoted as PZ0-PZ7)

**Materials and Methods**

**Antimicrobial activity assays**

The antimicrobial activity of the obtained materials was tested against bacterial and fungal reference strains, traceable to the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), i.e. Gram-negative (*Escherichia coli* ATCC 8739, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853) and Gram-positive (*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538, *Enterococcus faecium* ATCC 29212) bacterial and one yeast strain (*Candida albicans* ATCC 10231). The respective strains were streaked on tryptic soy agar and incubated for 15 to 18 h at 37°C. From the fresh cultures, microbial suspensions of 1.5 x 10^8 CFU/mL density (corresponding to 0.5 McFarland turbidimetric standard) were prepared in sterile saline and further used for the antimicrobial assays. The compounds were suspended in DMSO to prepare a stock solution of 10 mg/mL concentration. The quantitative assay of the antimicrobial activity was performed by liquid medium micro-dilution method in 96 multi-well plates. Briefly, binary dilutions ranging from 5000 µg/mL to 9.76 µg/mL from the tested compounds, as well as binary dilutions from the reference antibiotic (ticarcillin) and antifungal (fluconazole) drug in the range recommended by the CLSI (Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute) were performed in a total volume of 200 µL Muller Hinton broth. The wells were then seeded with a fix volume of 50 µL of the microbial suspensions. Positive and negative controls (culture medium seeded with the microbial suspensions and sterile culture medium, respectively) were used in the experiment. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and then, the absorbance of the wells liquid content was measured at 600 nm (Apollo LB 911 ELISA plate reader). The MIC (minimal inhibitory concentration) was considered as the lowest concentration of the tested compound that induced an inhibition of the microbial growth corresponding to an absorbance value inferior to that of the positive control used in the experiment [11-14]. In order to evaluate the influence of the tested suspensions on the ability of microbial strains to colonize the inert substratum, a microtiter plate method was used. In this purpose, the micro-plates used for the MIC assay were emptied and washed three times by phosphate buffered saline. The biofilm formed on the plastic wells wall was fixed for 5 min with cold methanol, coloured for 15 min with a violet crystal solution and then re-suspended in a 33% acetic acid solution. The optical density of the coloured suspension was quantified by measuring the absorbance at 490 nm. The minimal biofilm eradication concentration (MBEC) values were considered as the lowest concentration of the tested compound that induced an inhibition of microbial adherence and biofilm development on the plastic wells, revealed by a decrease of the absorbance value in comparison with the positive control used in the experiment [12, 15].

**Anti-proliferative activity**

For the cell viability assay, HCT8 cells were seeded at a density of 10^4 cells/well in 96-well plates and maintained in DMEM: F12 supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum. The treatment was performed with different concentrations and quantified after 24 hours using CellTiter Assay (Promega) according to the manufacturer's indications. The results were presented as percentage of viable cells from the untreated cells. For cell morphology evaluation, treated cells were fixed in ethanol and stained with propidium iodide for 5 minutes.
For the cell cycle assay HCT8 cells were seeded at a density of 10^4 cells/cm² in 24-well plates and treated with 5 µg/mL of the tested compounds. After 24 hours of treatment the cells were detached with trypsin, fixed in cold 70% ethanol and stained with RNA se/propidium iodide for 2 hours. Reading was done using Beckman Coulter flow cytometer and data analysis using FlowJo software [16].

Results and Discussion

Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity of the obtained compounds was assessed by quantitative methods on planktonic microbial cells (MIC assay) and biofilm-embedded bacteria (MBEC assay). Concerning the activity of the tested compounds against planktonic cells, the most active compounds proved to be PZ2, PZ6 and PZ7, which exhibited very low MIC values against all five tested strains (9.76 - 39 µg/mL), followed by PZ3 exhibiting a very low MIC value of 9.76 µg/mL, PZ4 with MIC values of 9.76 - 19.5 µg/mL and PZ0 with MIC values of 9.76 - 39 µg/mL against four of the five microbial strains, excepting P. aeruginosa, well known for its high intrinsic and acquired resistance to numerous antimicrobial compounds (Table I). The narrowest spectrum of antimicrobial activity was exhibited by the compounds PZ1 and PZ5 exhibiting low MIC values only against two of the five tested microbial strains, i.e., S. aureus and E. faecium for PZ1 and E. coli and C. albicans for PZ5 (Table I). For two strains, i.e. P. aeruginosa and E. faecium the efficiency of some of the tested compounds reference was superior that of the reference antibiotic (ticarcillin).

Table I

| The MIC (µg/mL) values of the tested compounds against the tested microbial strains |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | E. coli         | P. aeruginosa   | S. aureus       | E. faecium      | C. albicans     |
| PZ0                             | 9.76            | 1250            | 39              | 19.5            | 9.76            |
| PZ1                             | 5000.00         | 156             | 9.76            | 9.76            | 5000.00         |
| PZ2                             | 9.76            | 39.00           | 9.76            | 9.76            | 9.76            |
| PZ3                             | 9.76            | 5000.00         | 9.76            | 9.76            | 9.76            |
| PZ4                             | 19.50           | 5000.00         | 9.76            | 9.76            | 9.76            |
| PZ5                             | 39.00           | 5000            | 9.76            | 9.76            | 9.76            |
| PZ6                             | 39.00           | 9.76            | 9.76            | 9.76            | 9.76            |
| PZ7                             | 39.00           | 9.76            | 9.76            | 9.76            | 9.76            |
| Reference antibiotic (ticarcillin) | 16              | 16              | 8               | 32              |                 |
| Reference antifungal (fluconazole) |                 |                 |                 |                 | 2               |

The most susceptible strains growing in planktonic state proved to be C. albicans and the Gram-positive cocci E. faecium and S. aureus, while the most resistant was P. aeruginosa.

The higher efficiency of the tested compound against fungal and Gram-positive bacterial cells could be explained by the differences in their cell wall structure. In the case of Gram-negative bacteria, the antimicrobial compounds should surpass an additional barrier, represented by the outer membrane, rich in lipopolysaccharides. The outer membrane can be crossed at porin levels, but the ability to transfer through these channels depends on the size, charge and shape of the antimicrobial substance. Moreover, efflux pumps may also be more prevalent in the Gram-negative cell walls, preventing the intracellular accumulation of the antimicrobial substance at active concentrations. Biofilm-growing bacteria are generally much more resistant than their planktonic counterparts, due to their multiple genetic and biochemical properties, such as production of an extracellular polymeric matrix, acting as a physical and chemical barrier, inhibiting or delaying the penetration of antibiotics, slow growing or starvation metabolic state of some biofilm cells, genetic adaptation by increasing the mutation rate, phenotypic differentiation inside biofilm etc. These results demonstrate the acute necessity of finding compounds that could inhibit, disrupt or eradicate microbial biofilms, such as enzymes, sodium salts, metal nanoparticles, acids, chitosan derivatives, or plant extracts and to elucidate the mechanisms of their antibiofilm activity [17]. Also, it has been demonstrated that some currently used antibiotics, such as quinolones could penetrate the microbial biofilms [18].

In our study, the comparative analysis of the efficiency of the obtained compounds against planktonic cells grown in liquid media and biofilm cells revealed a different behaviour depending on tested microbial strain (Figure 3).

All tested compounds strongly inhibited the E. faecium and C. albicans biofilm development, with very low MBEC values of 9.76 µg/mL. The biofilm formed by S. aureus was inhibited by six compounds with low MBEC values ranging from 9.76 to 19.5 µg/mL and P. aeruginosa by four compounds (MIC of 9.76 µg/mL). The biofilm formed by E. coli was very recalcitrant, even to high concentrations of the tested compounds, the MBEC values being more than hundred times higher than the MIC in some cases (Table II). For P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, E. faecium and C. albicans strains, the MBEC values were lower than for the reference antibiotic and antifungal, respectively.
Comparative evaluation of the efficiency of the tested compounds against planktonic and biofilm-embedded cells

In the case of *E. coli* biofilm, all tested compounds excepting PZ1 exhibited a much lower efficiency against biofilm-embedded cells. In case of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm, PZ1 was less efficient; PZ7 exhibited the same efficiency, while PZ0, PZ2, PZ3, PZ4 and PZ5 proved to exhibit an improved activity against biofilm-embedded cells as compared to planktonic ones. This is significant, taking into account that *P. aeruginosa* is the second most commonly encountered human pathogen isolated from different hospital acquired infections surgical sites, chronic and burn wounds) and is the most frequent Gram-negative etiologic agent of medical devices biofilm associated infections [16]. In case of *S. aureus* biofilm, PZ0, PZ1, PZ3, PZ6 and PZ7 exhibited the same efficiency; PZ2 and PZ4 were less efficient, while PZ5 proved to be more efficient than against the planktonic cells. In case of *E. faecium* and *C. albicans* strains, the majority of the tested compounds exhibited similar efficiencies against planktonic and biofilm cells, excepting PZ0 and PZ5 for *E. faecium* and PZ1 for *C. albicans*, which exhibited a higher efficiency on biofilm-embedded, as
compared to planktonic cells. Remarkably, the PZ5 compound proved improved anti-biofilm efficiency against a wide spectrum of microbial strains, including three of the most important etiological agents of hospital-acquired infections, i.e. *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* and *E. faecium*.

Taken together, the obtained results demonstrated that despite the fact that the MBEC values were in some cases, as expected, higher than the MIC ones, however the tested compounds have shown a similar or even a more intense anti-biofilm activity, these results being promising for the potential use of these compounds to enrich the armamentarium of antimicrobial agents efficient in biofilm associated infections (Figure 3).

**Anti-proliferative activity**

Among the analysed substances, PZ0 was the least toxic. Also, a lower toxicity was observed for PZ5. In contrast, PZ1 and PZ7 were the most toxic (Figure 4). HCT8 cells morphology has been changed depending on the tested compound. Compounds PZ1-PZ7 reduced the number of viable, adherent cells. Particularly, in the case of PZ1 and PZ7, cells with small non-uniform and intensely stained nucleus were observed (Figure 5), suggesting apoptosis.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.**
The effects of the compounds PZ1-PZ7 on HCT8 cells

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.**
The effects of 5µg/mL compounds PZ1-PZ7 on HCT8 cells morphology

(adherent cells stained with propidium iodide, 630x) (a) untreated HCT8 cells; (b) PZ0 treated; (c) PZ1 treated; (d) PZ2 treated; (e) PZ3 treated; (f) PZ4 treated; (g) PZ5 treated; (h) PZ6 treated; (i) PZ7 treated

The cell cycle analysis showed that PZ1, PZ6 and PZ7 have an increased toxicity, demonstrated by the occurrence of a sub-G0 peak, on the left of G1. In addition, these substances block the cell cycle in G2/M, suggesting that they could be used as anti-tumour cytostatic drugs (Figure 6) [19].

Compounds PZ1 and PZ7 contain an ester group that can easily hydrolyse under physiologic condition;
moreover, PZ7 can be considered a benzocaine derivative, known for its medical use [20, 21]. The antimicrobial effects of benzoic acid derivatives [22] or carboxylate ligands [23] against biofilms are well documented in literature; therefore the continuous search for modern antimicrobials, including methoxy-amino moieties, is still a topic of high interest [24-27].

Conclusions
The results of the antimicrobial activity assay show that the tested compounds exhibited good antimicrobial activity, particularly against Gram-positive bacterial and fungal cells. While PZ2, PZ6 and PZ7 compounds have shown a remarkable effect against planktonic microbial cells, PZ4 and PZ7 proved to be the most active anti-biofilm compounds. Considering their anti-tumoral potential, PZ1 and PZ7 proved to be the most cytotoxic against the HCT8 cells, inducing their apoptosis and arrest in the G2/M cell cycle phase.
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