RESEARCH REGARDING THE POLLUTION DEGREE FROM ROMANIAN BLACK SEA COAST
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Abstract

This paper presents an analysis of pollution with heavy metals (cadmium, cooper, zinc, lead) and pesticides: lindan, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) in mussels collected from various areas of the Romanian Black Sea coast, to determine the most and least polluted areas for raw material harvesting. The research has taken into account mussel specificity as filtering-organisms, able to retain large quantities of water pollutants from their living area. The concentrations of heavy metals were determined by atomic absorption spectrometry (air/acetylene flame) and the concentrations of pesticide were determined by gas chromatography with electron capture detection (ECD). A relatively high degree of pollution was registered in areas A1 and A2 with high industrial activities due oil refinery or harbour neighbouring, with Cu concentrations (112.30 ± 3.8 µg/L in A1 and 119.58 ± 2.3 µg/L in A2) and Pb concentrations (21.44 ± 1.6 µg/L in A1 and 24.22 ± 2.8 µg/L in A2) exceeding the maximum limits, as is the case of pesticides detected in these areas. Mussels samples harvested from polluted areas also showed elevated heavy metals values and pesticides concentrations. The areas most suitable for mussel sampling are the A3 and A4 areas, with less industrial activity.

Rezumat

Lucarea prezintă o analiză a poluării cu metale grele (cadmiu, cupru, zineu, plumb) și pesticide (lindan, DDE, DDD, DDT) din midiile recoltate din diverse zone de pe litoralul românesc al Mării Negre pentru a determina zonele optime de recoltare a materiei prime, cu gradul cel mai redus de poluare. Am avut în vedere faptul că midiile sunt organisme filtratoare care rețin cantități importante din poluțiile apelor în care trăiesc. Concentrația metalelor grele a fost analizată prin spectrometrie de absorbtie atomică cu flăcăară aer/acetilenă, iar pesticidele au fost determinate prin gaz cromatografie cu detecție cu captură de electroni. Un grad relativ ridicat de poluare s-a remarcat în zonele A1 și A2 cu activități industriale intense fiind situate în apropierea unei rafinări respectiv unui port, unde s-au înregistrat concentrații crescute de Cu (112,30 ± 3,8 µg/L în A1 și 119,58 ± 2,3 µg/L în A2) și de Pb (21,44 ± 1,6 µg/L în A1 și 24,22 ± 2,8 µg/L în A2), concentrații care depășesc limitele maxime admise. De asemenea și concentrațiile pesticidelor detectate în aceste zone depășesc limitele maxime admise. Probile de mii recoltate din zonele poluate au prezentat, de asemenea, valori ridicate de metale grele și pesticide. Zonele cele mai potrivite pentru prelevarea de probe de mii sunt zonele A3 și A4, cu mai puțină activitate industrială și cu un nivel de poluare mai redus.
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as well as tourism activities, car transportation and shipping activities. Seawater only retains very small amounts of each element, whereas environmental factors determine their distribution in such a way that a significant amount of such metals accumulates in the bottom sediment, another part influencing the metabolic processes of organisms. Complex analyses are required because of the close relationship between the biotic and abiotic components of marine ecosystems, for the measurement of heavy metal concentrations in water and sediment as well as in plants and animals.

Concentrations of heavy metals and organochlorine pesticides in marine organisms are important indicators of contaminant impact on marine environment. Due to their high capacity to bio-accumulate various pollutants from the marine environment, mussels are one of the most commonly used bio-indicators to characterise marine contamination [3, 4].

Materials and Methods

For the analysis of heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Zn, Pb) and of organic pollutants (lindane, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE), dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane (DDT), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT)) mussel and water samples were harvested from various areas of the Romanian Black Sea coastline (A1, A2, A3, A4), to determine the optimum area for harvesting of least polluted raw material. The research was undertaken during June - August 2017.

Heavy metals analysis was performed by atomic absorption spectroscopy, using the wet digestion method [5-7]. Mussels collected were thoroughly rinsed, separated from the shells, crushed and dried at 105°C, and then mortar- powdered and homogenised. Powdered samples (shells and flesh, separately) were mineralised by the wet digestion method (mixture of 66% HNO₃ and 98% H₂SO₄): 2 g of homogenised sample were introduced into the digestion vessel (Velp DK-6 Heating Digester), together with 10 mL 65% HNO₃, 5 mL 37% HCl and 2 mL 35% H₂O₂; then, the mixture was heated gradually (at 150°C, for 1 h, 200°C, for 2 hours, 250°C, for 1 h and 300°C, for 2 hours). The solutions were next cooled to room temperature, transferred into a 25 mL volumetric flask and brought to volume with ultra-distilled water. Aqueous samples (500 mL) were filtered using Whatman No. 41 (0.45 mm pore size) filter paper for the estimation of dissolved metal content. The filtrate and the collected water samples (500 mL each) were preserved with 2 mL nitric acid to prevent the precipitation of metals. Both samples were tenfold concentrated on a water bath and subjected to nitric acid digestion using the microwave-assisted technique, setting pressure at 30 bars and power at 700 Watts [8, 9]. All used reagents were of analytical reagent grade (Merck). The resultant solutions were analysed with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer SHIMADZU AA 6300 (air/acetylene flame) in order to determine the heavy metals concentration: cadmium (λ = 228.8 nm), cooper (λ = 324.7 nm), zinc (λ = 213.9 nm) and lead (λ = 217 nm). A blank digestion solution was made for comparison. A standard solution for each element under investigation was prepared and used for calibration. Triplicate determinations were performed for each solution. Results are expressed as mean ± SD (standard deviation) of triplicate samples. Data were statistically evaluated using student test [5, 9].

Pesticide residues were extracted from samples with ether and acetone (fresh mussels tissue and shells sample) and then were purified on fluorisil column with a layer of anhydrous Na₂SO₄. A total of 10 g fluorisil or aluminium oxide was packed in a glass column with ether of oil. Pesticides were eluted from the column with ethyl ether/ether of oil in the 20 mL fraction. The fraction was concentrated in a Kuderna-Danish apparatus to 1 mL.

The water samples were collected from the surface. Samples were collected in 1 L glass bottles capped with glass caps than were filtered before analysis. The extraction was performed in 24 hours from the collection of the samples. During this time the samples were kept at 4°C.

An aliquot of 500 mL of water was applied through the separation funnel. Afterwards, there were added 25 mL of hexane. After mixing and layering, the upper hexane layer was washed again with 25 mL of hexane. The procedure was repeated four times. The extract was transferred to a LABOROTA 4001 rotary evaporator for concentrating to about 20 mL and then was treated with cooper to remove the sulphur containing compounds with a SONOREX RK 52 ultrasonication bath.

After the samples were concentrated as described above, they were transferred onto a fluorisil column with a layer of anhydrous Na₂SO₄ for pesticides detection. A total of 10 g fluorisil or aluminium oxide was packed in a glass column with hexane. Pesticides were eluted from the column with dichlormethane/hexane in the 20 mL fraction. The fraction was concentrated in a KUDERNA-DANISH apparatus for concentrating to 1 mL.

The maximum levels for certain heavy metals and organic pollutants allowed in marine water according to Health Ministry Regulations no 1888/2007 [10] are: cadmium 20 µg/L, cooper 100 µg/L, zinc 50 µg/L, lead 20 µg/L, lindan 1 µg/L, DDE 1 µg/L, DDD 1 µg/L, DDT 1 µg/L. For the analysis of pesticides it was used a FISONS gas chromatograph equipped with an electron capture detection (ECD) and a capillary chromatograph column filled with a mixture of silicone oils (QF-1, OV-11, XE-
60) on chromosorb WHP. Conditions: a 1 µL aliquot of extract was injected; column temperature 210°C; detector temperature 250°C; carrier gas: nitrogen at a flow rate of 4 mL/min. Each sample was analysed in triplicate and data were statistically processed by ANOVA. Results were expressed as means ± SD (standard deviation) [11-13]. The maximum levels for certain heavy metals and organic pollutants allowed in marine sediment according to Health Ministry Regulations no 1888/2007 [10] are: cadmium 20 µg/g, cooper 150 µg/g, zinc 150 µg/g, lead 100 µg/g, lindan 1 µg/L, DDE 1 µg/L, DDD 1 µg/L, DDT 1 µg/L.

Results and Discussion

Seawater concentrations of heavy metals and pesticides are shown in Table I and Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Cadmium (µg/L)</th>
<th>Cooper (µg/L)</th>
<th>Zinc (µg/L)</th>
<th>Lead (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>18.4 ± 2.6*</td>
<td>112.30 ± 1.8*</td>
<td>47.14 ± 2.0*</td>
<td>21.44 ± 1.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>18.7 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>119.58 ± 2.3*</td>
<td>48.88 ± 2.1</td>
<td>24.22 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>15.7 ± 4.6</td>
<td>93.50 ± 2.5</td>
<td>32.58 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>14.31 ± 2.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>14.34 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>90.12 ± 3.2*</td>
<td>35.47 ± 1.9</td>
<td>13.14 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>lindan (µg/L)</th>
<th>DDE (µg/L)</th>
<th>DDD (µg/L)</th>
<th>DDT (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.030 ± 3.2*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004 ± 1.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.8*</td>
<td>0.080 ± 2.1*</td>
<td>0.020 ± 2.2*</td>
<td>0.009 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.050 ± 2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003 ± 2.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>0.5 ± 2.4*</td>
<td>0.070 ± 1.7*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.001, DDE = dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene, DDD = dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane, DDT = dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane

A relatively high degree of pollution was registered in areas A1 and A2 with high industrial activities due an oil refinery or harbour, with Cu concentrations (112.30 ± 3.8 µg/L in A1 and 119.58 ± 2.3 µg/L in A2) and Pb concentrations (21.44 ± 1.6 µg/L in A1 and 24.22 ± 2.8 µg/L in A2) exceeding the maximum limits, as is the case of pesticides detected in these areas, increased concentrations above the permissible limits for lindan (1.2 ± 1.6 µg/L in A1, and 1.4 ± 1.8 µg/L in A2).

Heavy metals and pesticides concentrations in mussel flesh and shell are shown in Table III, Table IV, Table V and Table VI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Cadmium (µg/g)</th>
<th>Cooper (µg/g)</th>
<th>Zinc (µg/g)</th>
<th>Lead (µg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>19.11 ± 1.9*</td>
<td>202.36 ± 3.3*</td>
<td>148.33 ± 2.4*</td>
<td>101.31 ± 1.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>19.79 ± 1.8*</td>
<td>256.21 ± 2.5</td>
<td>149.61 ± 3.3</td>
<td>104.68 ± 2.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>17.71 ± 2.5</td>
<td>138.35 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>145.82 ± 3.2*</td>
<td>84.24 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>16.64 ± 2.1*</td>
<td>141.27 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>142.29 ± 3.1*</td>
<td>73.56 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Cadmium (µg/g)</th>
<th>Cooper (µg/g)</th>
<th>Zinc (µg/g)</th>
<th>Lead (µg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>19.23 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>185.18 ± 2.7*</td>
<td>144.86 ± 2.1*</td>
<td>100.94 ± 1.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>19.45 ± 2.9</td>
<td>196.12 ± 2.1*</td>
<td>148.11 ± 3.1</td>
<td>102.19 ± 2.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>16.19 ± 1.8*</td>
<td>122.45 ± 1.9*</td>
<td>137.14 ± 1.1*</td>
<td>79.10 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>16.31 ± 2.6</td>
<td>126.59 ± 1.7*</td>
<td>133.65 ± 2.4</td>
<td>76.63 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05

Mussel samples harvested from polluted areas also showed elevated heavy metals values. Increased accumulation of heavy metals in mussel flesh may be noted as compared to shell accumulation. In the A1 and A2 areas, mussel samples showed accumulations of Cu (185.18 ± 2.7 µg/g in A1 and 196.12 ± 2.1 µg/g in A2) and Pb (100.94 ± 1.9 µg/g in A1, and 102.19 ± 2.2 µg/g in A2), above the maximum permitted levels.
Regarding pesticides concentration in mussel samples, an accumulation of lindan may be observed above the permissible limits in mussel flesh from contaminated areas due to high industrial activities (A1 and A2). However, a poor retention of water organic pollutants may also be seen in shells, also accounted for the very low fat content in the shell.

In conclusion, areas most suitable for mussel sampling are the A3 and A4 areas, with less industrial activity [14-16].

### Conclusions

Heavy metals and organic pollutants were assessed in mussels collected from various areas on the Romanian Black Sea coastline (A1, A2, A3 and A4) to determine the optimum harvesting factors of least polluted raw material. It has been taken into account the fact that mussels are filtering-organisms, retaining large quantities of water pollutants.

A relatively high degree of pollution could be noted in A1 and A2 areas with high industrial and harbour activities, where concentrations of Cu (112.30 ± 3.8 µg/L in A1 and 119.58 ± 2.3 µg/L in A2) and Pb (21.44 ± 1.6 µg/L in A1 and 24.22 ± 2.8 µg/L in A2) exceed the maximum limits. For pesticides as well, increased levels have been detected in these areas, exceeding limits allowed for lindan (1.2 ± 1.6 µg/L in A1 and 1.4± 1.8 µg/L in A2 areas).

Mussel samples harvested from polluted areas also contained elevated concentrations of heavy metals. A greater accumulation of heavy metals was registered in the flesh rather than in shells. In A1 and A2 areas, mussel samples showed accumulations of Cu (185.18 ± 8.7 µg/g in A1 and 196.12 ± 2.1 µg/g in A2) and Pb (100.94 ± 1.9 µg/g in A1 and 102.19 ± 2.2 µg/g in A2), above maximum levels allowed.

Regarding the concentration of pesticides in the assessed mussels samples, an accumulation of lindan above the admitted limits could be observed in mussels flesh, in industrial activities contaminated areas (A1 and A2). However, a poor retention of organic pollutants could be observed in the shells, also accounted for the very low fat content of the shells.

As a conclusion, the A3 and A4 areas have been found to be the most suitable areas for mussel sampling, areas with less industrial activity.
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