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Abstract

In type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) patients, hepatic steatosis is present in a much higher proportion than in healthy people. In this study, we have evaluated the prevalence of steatosis in patients with type 2 DM, and whether this may be correlated with the type of treatment that patients are using, either with oral antidiabetics (OAD), or with insulin therapy +/- OAD. In the same time, steatosis has been correlated with nutritional status, metabolic control, and lipidic profile. The results showed that patients treated with insulin, exhibited hepatic steatosis in a statistically significant lower percent than patients treated with OAD. This finding is maintained after the correlation with weight status or metabolic control.

Rezumat

La pacienții cu diabet zaharat (DZ) de tip 2, steatoza hepatică (SH) este prezentă într-o proporție mult mai mare decât la persoanele sănătoase. În acest studiu, am urmărit prezența steatozei (prin examinare ecografică) la pacienții cu DZ tip2 și dacă aceasta se poate corela cu tipul de tratament al pacienților, fie cu antidiabetice orale (ADO), fie cu insulinoterapie +/- ADO. De asemenea, steatoza a fost corelată cu statusul nutrițional, controlul metabolic și profilul lipidic. Rezultatele au arătat că pacienții tratați cu insulină, au prezentat SH în procent mai mic, semnificativ statistice. Această constatare s-a menținut și după corelarea cu statusul ponderal sau controlul metabolic. Explicația rezultatelor mai bune la pacienții tratați prin insulinoterapie ar putea consta în faptul că insulinoterapia asigură un echilibrare metabolică mai bună, cu regresă sau chiar remisie steatozei („ficat în armonică”).
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Introduction

Hepatic steatosis defines lipid accumulation in the liver, exceeding 5.5% of its weight [3, 4, 6], or when 5% of the hepatocytes contain typical lipid macrovesicles at the histological examination.

Concerning the prevalence, the Third National Study regarding health and nutrition (NAHNES) has evaluated the prevalence of NAFLD (nonalcoholic fatty liver disease- hepatic steatosis) from 1988 until 1994, in the United States, based on ultrasonography data, in the case of 12,454 adults. They estimated that 28.8 millions of adults could be diagnosed with NAFLD in the United States; prevalence would correspond to 19% [11]; NAFLD appears with a higher prevalence in the case of hispanic - american population, compared to the non-hispanic population. NAFLD was associated independently with insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus, but also among people without diabetes, with dyslipidaemia and obesity; the study confirmed that NAFLD is more common in the case of men [9, 11, 15, 17, 18]. NAFLD prevalence in the Italian Dionysos study, in case of adults with and without suspected hepatic disease, was of 25%, respectively 20%, using the echographic -ultrasonographic identification method [2, 4, 5, 12]. NAFLD prevalence in Japan has increased 2.4 times, from 12.6% in 1989 to 30.3% in 1998 [5, 10]. A lower prevalence was reported in India, using ultrasound for NAFLD identification: NAFLD prevalence was of 18.9% in the case of adults over the age of 20 years, with a higher prevalence in the case of men than women (24.6% vs 13.6%) [1, 20, 24]. NAFLD prevalence, diagnosed with ultrasound, was of 69.4% in the case of 180 patients with DM type 2; NAFLD has been associated with obesity, hypertriglyceridemia and increased ALAT (alaninamino-transferase) [12, 23]; in another study, NAFLD was highlighted by ultrasound in a proportion of 62.2% in the case of 204 patients with DM type 2. In the case of these patients, NAFLD was confirmed by biopsy in 87% of the patients and the subsequent histological examination confirmed it in 54.11% of them. In the case of the same patients, steatohepatitis and fibrosis were found in 38.9%, respectively 23.2% of patients [5, 19].

Regarding pathogenesis, the major biochemical event in NAFLD is the accumulation of triacylglycerols
by the increased volume of the liver, increased suggestive modifications for steatosis are represented of hepatic steatosis, but the specificity is relatively low. Ultrasound exploration is very sensitive in the detection 22, 23 of non-esterified fatty acids from the plasma, being synthesized within the novo hepatic lipogenesis. When there is insulin resistance - the sign of metabolic syndrome - there is no insulin-dependent lipase regulation, leading ultimately to an increased lipolysis and an increased flux of free fatty acids (FFA) in plasma, from adipocytes [8]. Hyperglycaemia (and hyperinsulinemia) induces SREBP-1c (sterol regulatory element binding protein) and ChREBP (transcriptional regulator of glucose and lipid metabolism) in the liver, and these transcription factors activate subsequently the genes that are necessary for lipogenesis, resulting in the novo hepatic lipogenesis [21]. FFA beta-oxidation is increased in the case of patients with NAFLD. Oxidation cannot exceed the increased production of hepatic TAGs. Excessive oxidation of FFA may generate oxidative stress, resulting in the transition of NAFLD to steatohepatitis (NASH) [4, 21]. This pathological biochemical pathway provides the reason why there is a close association between fatty liver and insulin resistance, correlated with obesity [16, 19, 20]. FFA are directly hepatotoxic, and the level of FFA is increased in liver steatosis (fatty liver), correlating with the severity of the disease [15, 16, 22, 24]. Patients with severe fibrosis (demonstrated by liver biopsy) have a significantly higher FFA serum concentration than those without severe fibrosis [9, 16]. Saturated FFA (e.g. palmitate) are more hepatotoxic than the unsaturated ones (ex. palmitoleate). Palmitoleate, known as a lipokine, has been demonstrated to diminish hepatic steatosis and does not induce stress or apoptosis at the level of hepatocytes' endoplasmic reticulum. Also, it decreases the effects induced by palmitate. It is assumed that the difference of toxicity between saturated and unsaturated FFA is because unsaturated FFA are more easily esterified in neutral triglycerides [15, 20, 25, 32]. The deterioration of the hepatocytes capacity to use FFA, to incorporate and export TAGs, contributes to the development of steatohepatitis, and hepatic injury is furtherly accentuated by pathological oxidation of FFA and alteration of cell membrane composition [22]. Lipotoxicity induces hepatocellular apoptosis, the activation of Kupffer cells, alteration of insulin sensitivity and insulin resistance, activation of Ito cells, with subsequent fibrosis. These pathological processes may lead eventually to cirrhosis [8, 14, 22, 23].

Ultrasound exploration is very sensitive in the detection of hepatic steatosis, but the specificity is relatively low. Suggestive modifications for steatosis are represented by the increased volume of the liver, increased echogenicity of the parenchyma and ultrasound attenuation in subcapsular layers.

In the case of patients with type 2 DM, hepatic steatosis is present in a much higher proportion than in healthy people. Throughout this study, we have evaluated the presence of steatosis (by ultrasound examination) in patients with type 2 DM, and whether this may be correlated with the type of patients’ treatment, either oral antidiabetics (OAD), or insulin therapy ± OAD. In the same time, steatosis has been correlated with the nutritional status, metabolic control and lipidic profile.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study design**

We enrolled 94 patients with type 2 DM, being either treated with insulin and oral antidiabetics (OAD), or only with OAD for at least three years, examined by ultrasound at Municipal Clinical Hospital “Dr. Gavril Curteanu” Oradea, Romania between February and April 2017. All patients signed the informed consent according to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects (2013) and we also achieved the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, University of Oradea, Romania, to perform the clinical study. Out of these patients we selected the ones who had the characteristic ultrasound appearance of hepatic steatosis; the alcoholic or viral steatosis was excluded. Of the 27 excluded diabetic patients, 8 exhibited hepatopathy of viral and/or alcoholic aetiology, and the other 19 had echographic normo-echogenic liver; 16 of these patients were insulin dependent (84%). 67 patients were selected, representing 71.2% of the total examined patients. They were divided into two groups, depending on the followed antidiabetic therapy: Group 1 - oral therapy (OAD) with 36 de patients, Group 2 - the Insulin group (patients that received insulin ± OAD) with 31 patients.

The length of the diagnosis of diabetes varied between 1 to 40 years, the medium length of the disease being 9.5 years.

In Group 1, oral antidiabetics were used as follows: from Biguanide class - metformin - 10 cases (doses between 500 and 2000 mg/day, most of the patients with 2000 mg/day), from the sulfonylurea class - glitazide - 8 cases (alone or in combination with metformin; usual dosage between 60 - 120 mg/day); glibenpiride - 8 cases (average dose 6 - 9 mg/day), glibenclamide associated with metformin - 4 cases (in a combined pill, metformin 400 mg/glibenclamide 2.5 mg per tablet, usual dose 1 - 3 tablets/day), glyburide associated with metformin - 4 cases (in this combined pill, glyburide 1.25 - 2.5 mg and metformin 250 -
Patients used oral antidiabetics for an average period of 7.5 years. Patients in Group 2 used different types of insulin: long-acting insulin (Glargine® and Detemir®), Mixed insulins and Rapid (short acting) insulins. 85% of patients were on basal, long-acting Insulin Glargine® for a period varying from 1 year to 8 years, 10% of patients had a basal insulin and boluses of rapid insulin - 3 times a day for minimum 1 year and 5% of patients used mixed insulins (short-acting and medium-acting period) for 1 - 1.5 years.

Biochemical analysis
All patients were assessed: blood pressure (BP), abdominal circumference (metabolic syndrome marker), weight status expressed by BMI (body mass index), lipid profile (LDL = low density lipoproteins, HDL = high density lipoproteins - cholesterol-CST, VLDL = very low density lipoproteins, uric acid, hepatic function (GOT = glutamic-oxalacetic transaminase, GPT = glutamic-piruvic transaminase, GGT = gamma glutamil transpeptidase, ALP = alkaline phosphatase, total and direct bilirubin), metabolic control of diabetes mellitus - expressed as fasting blood glucose and glycosylated haemoglobin.

Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis was done using EPIINFO, version 6.0, program of the Center for Disease Control and Prevention - CDC from Atlanta and WHO (World Health Organisation), adapted to the medical statistics processing and SPSS 19. We calculated the average values of the parameters, the frequency intervals, standard deviations, statistical significance tests by the Student method (test t) and χ². The charts were made using the Harvard Graphic programme.

Results and Discussion
The two groups included in the study showed homogeneity regarding the age, sex distribution and background. In Group 1 patients were aged between 46 - 85 years, the average being of 65.64 years, and in Group 2, ages were between 46 - 81 years, the average being of 65.10 years (p = 0.910) (Table I).

Table I
Groups characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65.64 ± 7.51</td>
<td>65.10 ± 5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female/male)</td>
<td>69.44%/30.56%</td>
<td>70.97%/29.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment (urban/rural)</td>
<td>58.33%/41.67%</td>
<td>51.61%/48.39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women predominated in both groups (69.44%, respectively 70.97%) (p = 0.737) and over 50% came from rural areas (68.33%, respectively 51.61%) (p = 0.179).

The assessment of the body mass index (BMI), with the formula G (kg)/I² (m) revealed the results depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1.
Determination of obesity status

Obesity was more common in the Insulin Group (2), but statistically insignificant, and lipidic parameters also did not show statistically significant differences. In Group 1 obesity was present in 66.68% of patients, and in Group 2 in 74.19% (p = 0.056). We remark the fact that morbid obesity (BMI > 40 kg/m²) was not present in any patients from the OAD treated Group, but it was present in 25.81% of the Insulin Group (Figure 1).

In Group 1 fasting blood glucose ranged from 90 and 354 mg/dL, with an average of 156.92 mg/dL, and in Group 2 fasting blood glucose ranged between 75 and 446 mg/dL with an average of 192.58 mg/dL (p = 0.073). The metabolic control was evaluated through glycosylated haemoglobin (Hb A1c).

In Group 1 unbalanced and very unbalanced metabolic control (elevated Hb A1c) was recorded in 16.67% of
the patients, a significantly lower percentage than in Group 2 (35.48%) (p = 0.003) (Figure 3).

Figure 3.
Glycosylated haemoglobin levels

In Group 1, 77.78% of patients had grade II and III of hepatic steatosis, a significantly higher percentage than in Group 2 (35.48%) (p < 0.001) (Figure 4).

Figure 4.
Hepatic steatosis grade

In the case of normal and overweight patients, the prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 50.00%, a significantly higher percentage than in Group 2 (25.00%) (p < 0.001). In the case of obese patients the prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis was of 91.67% in Group 1, a significantly higher percent than in the Insulin Group (group 2) (39.13%) (p < 0.001) (Figure 5).

In the case of patients with good and very good metabolic control, the prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 73.33%, a significantly higher percent than in Group 2 (25.00%) (p < 0.001) (Figure 6).

In the case of patients with unbalanced metabolic control, the prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 100%, a significantly higher percent compared to Group 2 (54.55%) (p < 0.001).

In the case of patients with normal total cholesterol, the prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 78.57%, a significantly higher percent than in Group 2 (27.78%) (p < 0.001) (Figure 7).

In the case of patients with high (elevated) total cholesterol, prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 77.27%, a significantly higher percent compared to Group 2 (46.15%) (p < 0.001).

The prevalence of hepatic steatosis depending on the ponderal status of patients

In the case of normal and overweight patients, the prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 50.00%, a significantly higher percentage than in Group 2 (25.00%) (p < 0.001). In the case of obese patients the prevalence of grade...
percent than in the Insulin Group (33.33%) (p < 0.001) (Figure 8).
In the case of patients with high (elevated) LDL-cholesterol, prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 78.57%, a significantly higher percent than in Group 2 (36.36%) (p < 0.001).

In the case of patients with high (elevated) LDL-cholesterol, prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 78.57%, a significantly higher percent than in Group 2 (36.36%) (p < 0.001). (Figure 8).

**Figure 8.**
The prevalence of steatosis severity depending on lipidic profile (LDL-cholesterol)

In the case of patients with normal HDL-cholesterol, the prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 77.27%, a significantly higher percent than in Group 2 (30.77%) (p<0.001).

In the case of patients with low HDL-cholesterol, prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 78.57%, a significantly higher percent compared to Group 2 (38.89%) (p < 0.001).

**Figure 9.**
The prevalence of steatosis severity depending on lipidic profile (HDL-cholesterol)

In the case of patients with normal triglycerides, the prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in OAD group was of 64.71%, a significantly higher percent than in the insulin group (28.57%) (p < 0.001) (Figure 9).

In the case of patients with increased triglycerides, prevalence of grade II and III of hepatic steatosis in Group 1 was of 89.47%, a significantly higher percent compared to Group 2 (41.18%) (p < 0.001).

One of the most important metabolic effects of insulin is the suppression of lipolysis. The effect is mediated by the inhibition of hormone-sensitive lipase, which is accomplished by a double mechanism, as follows: insulin inhibits re-esterification of free fatty acids in the liver, a process that is reflected by the low rate of VLDL synthesis; in the same time it inhibits their oxidation in the liver, but also in the skeletal and cardiac muscle; these processes are achieved through the antilipolytic effect, that leads to the decrease of free plasma fatty acid availability [7, 13, 14].

A study performed in case of diabetic patients shows that insulin, administered for a long term, determines the decrease of hepatic steatosis, transaminases, probably by lowering blood glucose and influencing lipid metabolism [9].

**Conclusions**

It is necessary and important to diagnose NAFLD by routine, because removal of the cause leads to the reversibility of steatosis.

Patients with untreated NAFLD (from the perspective of etiological factor) may develop, in half of the cases, progressive fibrosis, and one sixth of them may develop cirrhosis.

Patients with type 2 DM exhibit hepatic steatosis - assessed by ultrasound - in a statistically significant percentage than healthy people, and the therapy used for glycaemic control has influences on the degree of hepatic steatosis.

In our study, patients treated with insulin (Group 2), for at least three years, exhibited hepatic steatosis in a statistically significant lower percent than patients treated with OAD. This finding is maintained after correlation with weight status or metabolic control. Regarding the weight status (body mass index), the degree of metabolic control and lipidic profile, the prevalence of hepatic steatosis of grade II and III (more severe) was significantly higher in OAD patients (Group 1) than in those with insulin (Group 2).

Although patients from Group 1 were treated with different OAD and patients from Group 2 were treated with different insulin types, the final results were not influenced: patients from Group 2 showing a lower prevalence and severity of steatosis.

The explanation of the better results, in the case of patients treated with insulin, could be that insulin therapy provides a better metabolic balance, with regression or even remission of steatosis ("harmonic liver").
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